The Development of Teaching Material for English Writing Skill for Young Learners (ages 12-14) in English Courses in Bekasi

Juliansyah

Universitas Darma Persada Jakarta, Indonesia Corresponding Email: juliansyah@fs.unsada.ac.id

Abstract: This research is about the development of teaching material in writing skill for young learners (ages 12-14). This research takes places in some English courses in Bekasi. The purpose of this research is to create sets of teaching material that is more applicable for all students in those ages. The research applies qualitative approach and the methodology of developmental research. In this case, the research utilizes steps of module development, namely: 1) Pre-research, 2) Creation, 3) 1st Trial Class, 4) Revision, 5) 2nd Trial Class, and 6) Final Development. This research involves one head researcher and 2 teachers who do the test-teaching. The head researcher writes all the draft based on the result of pre-research. The researcher then trains the teachers before the teachers do the teaching, so all the teachers can conduct the teaching in the same or standard ways. The researcher also guides all the teachers to do the steps in teaching, conduct the test after teaching process, as well as apply the scoring system. The researcher hopes that, at the end of the research, the module is applicable in broader scales of class, not only in English courses but also in formal schools.

Key words: teaching, writing, learners, courses

The question in this introduction is that whether or not learning process in the classroom can make students become a better writer. More serious question is actually what kind of good learning process that will make the students write. This research is aimed to help the teacher to conduct a better-planned teaching learning process for writing ability. This means that this research develops set of teaching activities. This research is conducted only in classroom for young English learners of 12-14 years old and in English course (not in formal classroom).

METHOD

In doing this developmental research, I took several steps of a development as follows.

Pre-research \rightarrow Creation \rightarrow 1stTrial class \rightarrow Revision \rightarrow 2nd Trial Class \rightarrow Final Development

First, Pre-research is the beginning step of the whole circle of this developmental research. Second, I started to create my own teaching plan andteaching materials consisting: (1) General Teaching Objective, (2) Specific Teaching Objectives, (3) Topics, (4) Steps of teaching, (5) Teaching Material, and (6) Teaching tools.Meanwhile, my teaching materials consist of: (1) Session or Unit number, (2) Topic of Unit, (3) Steps of Learn-

ing Activities (and teaching material). Third, the $1^{\rm st}$ Trial class was conducted for 3 sessions of meeting with the students in the classroom. Fourth and Fifth, after the revision, the 2 teachers and I conducted the $2^{\rm nd}$ Trial Class. Finally, I summed up the

ACTIVITIES	TIME	PURPOSES OF
71CTTVITIES	ALLOCATED	LEARNING
Listen to the recording and repeat!	5 minutes	Students are accustomed to hear and get the idea of the dialogue or essay
Complete the following sentences!	10 minutes	Students are aware of appro- priate words to complete the sentences
Rearrange the following words to make good sentences!	10 minutes	Students are aware of the cor- rect structure of a sentence
Complete the following dialogues (or essay)!	10 minutes	Students are aware of the ap- propriate expres- sion to complete the dialogue
Compose your own dialogue (or essay)!	10 minutes	Students are able to explore their free ideas

information in order to make final teaching plan and teaching materials.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Pre-Research

In pre-research, the researcher found the following information:

Teaching writing was not put in special session;

- 2. Teachers did not have special book to teach writing skill
- 3. Teachers never got any special training for teaching writing
- 4. Teacher never created teaching plan and their own teaching materials for writing class.

The Creation of Teaching Plan and Teaching Materials

I created 3 teaching plans. I used simple form of teaching plan in order to make the other 2 teachers understand easily.

Table 1: Learning Activities, Time Allocation, and Purposes

The 1st Trial Class

Here is a report and the results of the implementation of the 1^{st} Trial Class:

Table 3: The Implementation of the 1st Trial Class

140100	The implem		11 01 1110	1 11141	
Name	Name of	Total	Total	Total	Time
of	school	class	sessio	stude	
teachers		es	ns	nts	
Julian-	Al Mu-	1	3	10	3 x
syah	awanah				45
(the	English				minut
main re-	Course				es
search-					
er)					
Indri	Pelita	1	3	12	3 x
	English				40
	course				minut
					es
Solikha	Al Mar-	1	3	8	3 x
	zukiyah				40
	English				minut
	Course				es

The 65th TEFLIN International Conference, Universitas Negeri Makassar, Indonesia 12-14 July 2018

In term of the teaching learning process, here are the report and the results:

Table 4: The Coverage in Teaching Learning Process (all 3 sessions)

Session 1

Aspects	Juliansyah	Indri	Solikha
Units of	All	All	All
teaching	covered	covered	covered
materials (all 3			
units)			
All steps of	Steps 1-3	Steps 1-	Steps 1-
teaching		4	4

Session 2

	T 11 1	T 1 '	0 1111
Aspects	Juliansyah	Indri	Solikha
Units of	All	All	All
teaching	covered	covered	covered
materials (all 3			
units)			
All steps of		All	All
teaching		covered	covered

Session 3

Aspects	Juliansyah	Indri	Solikha
Units of	All	All	All
teaching	covered	covered	covered
materials (all 3			
units)			
All steps of		All	All
teaching		covered	covered

Most of the problems are almost similar.

Table 5: The Coverage of Problems in Teaching Learning Process

No		Description
	of	
	Problems	
1	Time	Time to cover all steps was not
	allocated	enough
2	Steps of	Some are applicable, but some

	teaching	are not
3	English	Students had different level of
	skill	English mastery
4	Others	Some students had low motiva-
		tion

Table 6: Problems in Teaching Materials

UNIT	PF	ROBLEMS	SUGGESTION
NUMBER			
1	1	Students	Change the name
		were not fa-	into name of the
		miliar with	students' teacher
		the name	
		given	
	2	The instruc-	Change the in-
		tion seemed	struction shorter
		to be too long	
		and hard for	
		students to	
		understand	
2	3	Some	Use similar type
		students	of sentence
		thought too	
		much about	
		different type	
		of sentences	
3	4	The same as	
		number 3	

Table7: Problems in Steps of Teaching

Steps of Teaching	Problems found
Listen to the recording and repeat!	Taking time because of the repetition of record- ing and making sure the students got what they heard
Complete the following sentences!	Some students lost ideas on anything they heard from the previous step, so they were not able to complete the sentences Some students had

Juliansyah

	problem in spelling when they tried to complete the sentences
Rearrange the following words to make good sentences!	Some students did not have strong knowledge about correct structure of English sentences
Complete the following dialogues (or essay)!	Some students got confused because they found the activity the same as the previous activity
Compose your own dialogue (or essay)!	Not enough time to finish this step because teacher had to approach the students one by one

Revision

Table 8: Revision of Teaching Materials

Findings of	Revisions
Problems	
Unfamiliar	Change the name into name of
name on Unit 1	the students' teacher
Too long in-	Change the instruction shorter
struction	
seemed in al-	
most all steps	
Different type of	Use similar type of sentence
sentences in	(statement and questions)
Unit 2 and 3	

Table 9:Revisions in Steps of Teaching

Steps of Teaching	Revisions
Listen to the recording	Read together!
and repeat!	
Complete the following	Complete the sentences!
sentences!	(this became step 3)
Rearrange the following	Rearrange the words to
words to make good	make good sentences!
sentences!	(this became step 2)

Complete the following	Deleted
dialogues (or essay)!	
Compose your own	Write your dialogue (or
dialogue (or essay)!	essay)!

The 2nd Trial Class

The purpose of conducting the second trial is to find out whether the revision of the first draft has achieved the expected learning process.

Table 10: Learning Activities, Time Allocation, and Purposes (of Revised Teaching Plan

ACTIVITIES	TIME	PURPOSES
	ALLOCATED	OF
		LEARNING
Read together!	5 minutes	Students can
		identify the
		spelling of
		words and
		sentence
		structure
Rearrange the	10 minutes	Students are
words to make		aware of the
good sentences!		correct struc-
		ture of a sen-
		tence
Complete the	10 minutes	Students are
sentences!		aware of ap-
		propriate
		words to
		complete the
		sentences
Write your	20 minutes	Students are
dialogue (or		able to ex-
essay)!		plore their
		free ideas

Table 11: The Implementation of the 2^{nd} Trial Class

Name	Name	Tota	Total	Total	Time
of	of	1	sessi	stude	

The 65th TEFLIN International Conference, Universitas Negeri Makassar, Indonesia 12-14 July 2018

teacher	school	class	ons	nts	
S		es			
Julian- syah (the main re- search- er)	Al Mu- awanah English Course	1	3	10	3 x 45 minu tes
Indri	Pelita English course	1	3	12	3 x 40 minu tes
Solikha	Al Mar- zukiyah English Course	1	3	8	3 x 40 minu tes

Table 12: The Coverage in Teaching Learning Process (all 3 sessions)

Session 1

Aspects	Juliansyah	Indri	Solikha
Units of	All	All	All
teaching	covered	covered	covered
materials (all 3			
units)			
All steps of	Steps 1-4	Steps 1-	Steps 1-
teaching		4	4

Session 2

Aspects	Juliansyah	Indri	Solikha
Units of	All	All	All
teaching	covered	covered	covered
materials (all 3			
units)			
All steps of	Steps 1-4	Steps 1-	Steps 1-
teaching		4	4

Session 3

Aspects		Juliansyah	Indri	Solikha
Units	of	All	All	All
teaching		covered	covered	covered
materials ((all 3			

units	s)				
All	steps	of	Steps 1-4	Steps 1-	Steps 1-
teaching			4	4	

Table 13: Problems in Steps of the 2nd Trial Class

ACTIVITIES	PROBLEMS FOUND
Read together!	Some students asked
	the meaning of words
Rearrange the words to	Taking time in rewrit-
make good sentences!	ing
Complete the sentences!	Some students asked
	for new words
Write your dialogue (or	Some students asked
essay)!	for new words

Final Development

The following table shows the revisions that I had.

Table 14:Revision based on Problems in Steps of the 2^{nd} Trial Class

Activities	Revisions	
Read together!	Put meaning of words below	
	the dialogue or text	
Rearrange the	Students did not rewrite the	
words to make	sentences but the code of	
good sentences!	words (in number)	
Complete the	The instruction changed into:	
sentences!	Use the following word to	
	complete the sentences!	
Write your	The instruction changed into:	
dialogue (or	Use the following words to	
essay)!	write your dialogue (or es-	
	say)!	

Discussion

Writing is probably the highest level in language capabilities. The problem is on the teaching learning process so the students can write better.

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

Learning process is all activities that involve teacher and students in a periodic of time in which those activities are based on the teaching plan and conducted to gain the teaching goals. Learning process also includes the teaching materials which are used by the teacher and students to run the learning process.

One of the most important findings of this research is set of the teaching plan and materials on how to teach writing. These teaching plan and materials are probably the most systematic and reasonable to be applied in the classroom.

REFERENCES

Barrot, J. (2013). A macro perspective on key issues in English as second language (ESL) pedagogy in the postmethod era: Confronting challenges through sociocognitive-transformative approach. The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher, 23(3), pp. 435–449.

Barrot, J. (2014). A sociocognitive-transformative instructional materials design model for second language (L2) pedagogy in the Asia Pacific:Development and validation. The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher, published online February 2014, DOI 10.1007/s40299-014-0179-0

Barrot, A sociocognitive-transformative approach to teaching writing 122 Pacific Policy Research Center. (2010). 21st century skills for students and teachers. Honolulu: Kamehameha Schools, Research & Evaluation Division`

Benjamin, R. & Chun, M. (2003). A new field of dreams: The Collegiate Learning Assessment Project. Peer Review, 5(4), 26-29.

Bereiter, S. &Scardamalia, M. (1987). The psychology of written composition. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Berman, R. & Cheng, L. (2010). English academic language skills: perceived difficulties by undergraduate and graduate students, and their academic achievement. Canadian Journal of Applied Linguistics, 4(1-2), 25-40.

Bizzaro, P. (2003). The writer-teacher in the United States: The place of teachers in the community of writers. In G. Harper (Ed.), A companion to creative writing. West Sussex United Kingdom: Wiley-Blackwell.

Brandt, D. (2005). Writing for a living: Literacy and the knowledge economy. Written Communication, 22, 166-197.

Brown, H. D. (1994). Teaching by principles: An interactive approach to language pedagogy. USA: Prentice Hall.

DepartemenPendidikanNasional.(2002).
Pendekatankontekstual (contextual teaching and learning (CTL)). Jakarta:
DirektoratPendidikanLanjutanPertama.

Geiser, S. &Studley, R. (2001). UC and the SAT: Predictive validity and differential impact of the SAT I and SAT II at the University of California. Retrieved March Writing Skills 181, 2002, from the University of California, Office of the President Web site: http://www.ucop.edu/sas/research/researchandplanning/pdf/sat_study.pdf

Graham, S., Berninger, V. W., Abbott, R. D., & Whitaker, D. (1997). Role of mechanics in composing elementary school students: A new

The 65th TEFLIN International Conference, Universitas Negeri Makassar, Indonesia 12-14 July 2018

- methodological approach. Journal of Educational Psychology, 89, 170-182.
- Graham, S., & Harris, K. R. (2000). The role of self-regulation and transcription skills in writing and writing development. Educational Psychologist, 35, 3-12.
- Hadley, O. A. (2001). Teaching language in context (3rd Ed.). Boston: Heinle&Heinle. Jones, C. D., Reutzel, D. R., & Fargo, J. D. (2010). Comparing two methods of writing instruction: Effects on kindergarten students' reading skills. The Journal of Educational Research, 103(5), 327-341.
- Harper, G. (2003b). Creative writing to the future. In G. Harper (Ed.), A companion to creative writing. West Sussex United Kingdom: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Hayes, J. R., & Flower, L. S. (1980). Identifying the organization of writing processes. In L. W. Gregg & E. R. Steinberg (Eds.), Cognitive processes in writing (pp. 3-30). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Hermana, D., Supardan, D., &Undang, G. (2010). Contextual Teaching andLearning (sebuahpanduanawaldalampengembangan PBM). Yogyakarta: PT. DiandraPrimamitra Media.
- Ismail, S. A. A. (2011). Exploring students' perceptions of ESL writing. English Language Teaching, 4(2), 73-83.
- Kellogg, R. T. (2001). Long-term working memory in text production. Memory & Cognition, 43-52.
- Levy, C. M. &Ransdell, S. (1995). Is writing as difficult as it seems? Memory & Cognition, 23, 767-779.

- McCutchen, D. (1984). Writing as a linguistic problem. Educational Psychologist, 19, 226-238.
- McCutchen, D. (1996). A capacity theory of writing: Working memory in composition. Educational Psychology Review, 8, 299-325.
- Nesamalar, C., Saratha, S. & Teh, S. (2001). ELT Methodology: Principles and Practice. Selangor: PenerbitFajarBakti.
- Nickerson, R. S., Perkins, D. N., & Smith, E. E. (1985). The teaching of thinking. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- North Central Regional Educational Laboratory. (2003). EnGauge 21st century skills: Literacy in the digital age. Naperville, Ill.: North Central Regional Educational Laboratory, Metiri Group.
- Pour-Mohammadi, M., ZainolAbidin, M., & Cheong Lai, F. (2012). The effect of process writing practice on the writing quality of form one students: A Case Study. Asian Social Science, 8(3), 88-99.
- Shaughnessy, M.P. (1988). Diving in: An introduction to basic writing.In G. Tate & E.P.J. Corbett.The Writing Teacher's Sourcebook 297-302. New York: Oxford.
- Watts-Taffe, S., & Truscott, D. (2000). Using what we know about language and literacy development for ESL students in the mainstream classroom. language Arts 77(3) 258-264.
- Zimmerman, B. J., &Risemberg, R. (1997).Becoming a self-regulated writer: A social cognitive perspective. Contemporary Educational Psychology, 22, 73-101.