The Effect of Debt To Assets Ratio (DAR) and Debt To Equity Ratio (DER) on Return On Assets (ROA) at PT Phapros Tbk

Intan Sari Budhiarjo¹, Nurfitriana², Widya Candra Dewi³, Siti Nurcahayati⁴, Sam Cay⁵

^{1, 2, 3, 4, 5} Management Study Program, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Pamulang, Indonesia

Email: <u>lecturer02128@unpam.ac.id</u>¹, <u>fitriana.nur13@gmail.com</u>², <u>lecturer02281@unpam.ac.id</u>³, <u>lecturer02356@unpam.ac.id</u>⁴, <u>lecturer02207@unpam.ac.id</u>⁵

ABSTRACT

This study aims to determine whether or not there is an effect of Debt to Asset Ratio on Return on Assets and Debt to Equity Ratio on Return on Assets either partially or simultaneously. The object of this research is PT Phapros Tbk. The data used in this open research is secondary data. Using a descriptive research method and the data is quantitative. The variables used are Debt to Assets Ratio (X1) and Debt to Equity Ratio (X2) to Retrun On Assets (Y). From the partial test Debt to Assets Ratio and Debt to Equity Ratio there is no significant effect on Return On Assets. The results of simultaneous hypothesis testing Debt to Assets Ratio and Debt to Equity Ratio together do not significantly influence Return On Assets. The results of the coefficient of determination test (R2) were 0.532 or 53.2%, which means that the contribution made by the Debt to Assets Ratio and Debt to Equity Ratio to Return on Assets reached 53.2% while the remaining 46.8% was influenced by other factors that were not described in this study.

Keywords : DAR; DER; ROA.

INTRODUCTION

The digital and modernization era has brought many changes (Bennett, 2012; Coccoli et al., 2014; Hozdić, 2015; Machfiroh et al., 2018; Margetts & Dunleavy, 2013; Uricchio, 2011), including the industrial and business sectors. Especially in the industrial era 4.0, boundaries are disappearing and competition is getting tougher (Argadinata & Gunawan, 2019; Caliskan et al., 2020; Rahardja et al., 2019; Tarigan et al., 2017). Every company is competing to improve its capabilities and optimize existing resources, to be at the forefront. One of the efforts made is to improve the ability to manage financial management.

According to Irfan Fahmi (2016: 2), argues that financial management is a combination of science and art that discusses, studies and analyzes how a financial manager uses all company resources to raise funds, manage funds, and distribute funds with the aim of providing profit or prosperity for shareholders and business sustainability for entrepreneurs (Chang et al., 2020; Franzen & Moriarty, 2015; Hulshof et al., 2012; Rahardja et al., 2019).

722 | Jurnal Administrare: Jurnal Pemikiran Ilmiah dan Pendidikan Administrasi Perkantoran Volume 9 , Issue 2 , July-December 2022 . Pages 721-728

Obtaining profits is the main goal of establishing a business entity (Carroll & Shabana, 2010; Porter & Kramer, 2019; Siekelova et al., 2019; Yunus et al., 2010), whether a business entity in the form of a Limited Liability Company (PT), foundations or other forms of business entity continuously making profits, this means that the survival of the business entity will be guaranteed.

METHODS

Connection Debt to Assets ratio (DAR) To Return on Assets (ROA)

Debt to Assets Ratio (DAR), is ratio which used for measure ratio Among total debt with total assets, or so to speak that a number of part from wholefund which shopped with debt. The higher the *Debt to Assets Ratio value* so the more big total capital loans used for investment on assets To use produce profitfor the company. The use of debt big in a manner no live follow influence to uncertainty andrisk as well as profit which will generated will follow more big also (Efendi & Wibowo, 2017; Maulita & Tania, 2018; Sari et al., 2021).

Connection Debt to equity Ratio (DER) To return on Assets(ROAs).

DER or *debt to equity ratio* is one type of leverage ratio, that is in measurement worn to assess the size of the company's capital financed by debt. According to cashmere (2014:157) *debt to equity ratio* is a financial ratio used to value debt with equity company. Ratio this used for know total fund which provided by borrower (creditor) with company owners. In a word other, how much big score every rupiah the company's capital used as guarantee debt.

Based on the framework above, so hypothesis temporary from study which conducted by writer that there is influence Among Among *Debt to Assets Ratio*(DAR) *and Debt to Equity Ratio* (DER) *on Return On Assets* (ROA) *at PT Phapros Tbk.* Formulation hypothesis study could concluded as following:

1. Ho $_{1=}0$ Not there is influence Among

Debt to Assets Ratio to Return On Assets company on PT Phapros Tbk

Ha $_{1 \neq 0}$ There is a significant effect Among *Debt to Assets Ratio* against *Returns On Assets Ratio* company on PT Phapros Tbk

- 2. Ho 2 = 0 Not there is influence between *Debt to Equity Ratio* to *return* On Assets company on PT Phapros Tbk Ha 2 ≠ 0 There is a significant effect between *Debt to Equity Ratio* to *return On Assets* company on PTPhapros Tbk
- 3. Ho 3 = 0 Not there is influence between *Debt to Assets Ratio* and *Debt to equity Ratio* to *returnOn Assets* company on PT Phapros Tbk Ha 3 ≠ 0 There is influence Among *Debt toAssets Ratio* and *Debt to Equit Ratio* to *return On* Company *assets* at PT Phapros Tbk

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis Statistics Descriptive

Statistics descriptive givedescription or description of a data seen from the average value, standard deviation, variance, maximum, minimum, sum, range, kurtosis, and skweness on each respectively variable that is *Debt to Asserts Ratio* and *Debt to Equity Ratio* to *Return On Assets*. Following results statistics descriptive using SPSS 24 which served in table 4.4, that isas following:

Descriptive Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics provide an overview or description of a data seen from the average value, standard deviation, variance, maximum, minimum, sum, range, kurtosis, and skewness in each variable, namely Debt to Asserts Ratio and Debt to Equity Ratio to Return On Assets.

The following are the results of descriptive statistics using SPSS 24 which are presented in table 1, which are as follows:

Table 1	Descriptive	Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν	
ROA	9.59	3.35	10	
DAR	34.68	17.32	10	
DER	69.02	42.39	10	

Source: SPSS Output 24

Table 1 above shows that there are 10 data (N) used, namely the financial statements of PT Phapros Tbk, 2010-2019. Descriptively the variables in this study, Debt to Assets Ratio (DAR). Has an average of 34.6830 with a standard deviation of 17.32527. The Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) has an average of 69.0160 with a standard deviation of 42.38705. Meanwhile, Return on Assets (ROA) has an average of 9.5890 with a standard deviation of 3.34619.

Classic assumption test

The classical assumption test is a statistical requirement that must be met in an ordinary least square (OLS) based regression model. So a regression model that is not based on OLS does not require classical assumption requirements such as logistic regression or ordinal regression (Nikolaus, 2019). Regression analysis performed using the OLS method must meet the requirements of the classic assumption test which consists of a normality test, multicollinearity test, heteroscedasticity test, and autocoellation test.

The normality test aims to see whether the residual values are normally distributed or not. A good regression model is to have normally distributed residual values. To test it using Kolmogorov Smirnov. It can be seen that the asymp.sig value. (2-tailed) residual of 0.200 > significant level of 0.05. This shows that the data used in the regression model is normally distributed.

724 **Jurnal Administrare: Jurnal Pemikiran Ilmiah dan Pendidikan Administrasi Perkantoran** Volume 9, July-December 2022. Pages 721-728

b. Multicollinearity Test

The multicollinearity test aims to test whether the regression model found a correlation between the independent (free) variables. A good regression model should not have a correlation between the independent (free) variables. Multicollinearity can be seen from the tolerance value and Variance Inflation Factor (VIF). To indicate the existence of multicollinearity is a tolerance value ≤ 0.10 or the same as a VIF value ≥ 0.10 . If the toletance value is ≥ 0.10 or equal to VIF ≤ 10 , then multicollinearity does not occur. it shows that the DAR tolerance result is 0.367 > 0.10 and DER tolerance results of 0.367 > 0.10, so that the DAR and DER variables are not declared multicollinearity. VIF results for DAR are 2,726 <10 and DER values are 2,726 <10, so that the DAR and DER variables are declared to have no multicollinearity.

c. Autocorrelation Test

A good regression equation is one that does not have autocorrelation problems, if autocorrelation occurs then the equation becomes bad/not suitable for prediction. A new autocorrelation problem arises if there is a linear correlation between the disturbance error of period t (is) with the disturbance error of period t-1 (previous). In one of determining whether or not there is an autocorrelation problem with the Durbin-Watson (DW) test with the conditions (Ghozali, 2016), as follows:

- 1. There is a positive autocorrelation if the DW value is below -2 (DW <-2)
- 2. There is no autocorrelation, if the DW is between -2 and +2 or $-2 \leq DW \leq +2$
- 3. There is a negative autocorrelation if the DW value is above +2 or DW > +2

It can be seen that the Durbin Watson value is 0.687. So the DW value is between -2 to 2 so it can be concluded that there are no signs of autocorrelation.

Quantitative Analysis

A. Simple Linear Regression Test

According to Sugiyono (2010: 270) "simple regression is based on functional or causal relationships or independent variables with one dependent variable". This test is used to test the effect of the Debt to Assets Ratio on Return on Assets.

Multiple Regression Analysis Test

Multiple linear regression tests are useful for looking for the effect of two or more independent variables (predictors) or for finding a functional relationship between two or more predictor variables on the criterion variable.

Hypothesis testing

Hypothesis testing is useful for checking or testing whether the regression coefficients obtained are significant (significantly different). The meaning of this significant is a regression coefficient value that is not statistically equal to zero, meaning that it can be said that there is not enough evidence to say that the independent variable has an influence on the dependent variable. For that, the regression coefficient must be tested. Meanwhile, to test the acceptance or rejection

Intan Sri Budhiarjo, et al ; The Effect of Debt To Assets Ratio (DAR) and Debt To Equity Ratio ... / 725

of a hypothesis, it is carried out in the following way:

t test (Partial)

The t test is used to test the effect of each independent variable Debt to Assets Ratio (X1) and Debt to Equity Ratio (X2) on Return On Assets (Y). The following is a hypothesis test using the t test, with testing Ho accepted and Ha rejected if tcount < ttable,

1) If *t h itung > ttabel* then the independent variable affects the dependent variable.

2) If *t h itung* < *ttabel* then the independent variable

no effect on the Based on the significance value of the results SPSS outputs:

1) If the sig value <0.05 or 5% then the independent variable has a significant effect on the dependent variable.

2) If the sig value > 0.05 or 5% then the independent variable has no significant effect on the dependent variable.

Due to the small number of samples, simplifications were made. Hypothesis testing with a = 5% while the degree of freedom testing is nk = 10-2 = 8.

In this case, the table values are: t table = (0.05:10-2) t table = (0.05:8) then t table is 2,306 The results of multiple regression can be analyzed as follows:

1) The results of testing the hypothesis of the effect of DAR (X1) on ROA (Y) obtained a tcount of 0.193 < ttable of 2.306 and a significance value of 0.853 > 0.05, indicating that Ho is accepted and Ha is rejected. So it can be concluded that the Debt to Assets Ratio has no significant effect on the Return On Assets variable.

2) The results of testing the hypothesis of the effect of DER (X2) on ROA (Y) obtained the value of tcount

-1.858 < ttable 2.306 and a significance value of 0.105 > 0.05, indicating that Ho is accepted and Ha is rejected. So it can be concluded that the Debt to Equity Ratio has no significant effect on the Return On Assets variable.

F Test (Simultaneous)

The F test is used to test the effect of the independent variables jointly on the dependent variable. The stages of statistical testing F are as follows:

Determine the null hypothesis and alternative hypothesis

1) Ho : This means that the Debt to Assets Ratio and the Debt to Equity Ratio have no effect on Return On Assets.

2) Ha : This means that the Debt to Assets Ratio and the Debt to Equity Ratio affect the Return on Assets.

Determine Fcount and Ftable, Fcount can be seen in the ANOVA table calculated using SPSS version 24 which is 3.981 and Ftable can be found 10 - 3 = 7 then the value of Ftable = 4.74 Decision-making

1) If Fcount <Ftable then Ho is accepted and Ha is rejected.

2) If Fcount > Ftable then Ho is rejected and Ha is accepted.

It can be seen that the results of the F test show an Fcount value of 3.981 while Ftable is 4.74 where Fcount is 3.981 < F table 4.74 with a significance of 0.070 > 0.05 then Ho is accepted and Ha is rejected. Then the variables Debt to Assets Ratio and Debt to Equity Ratio simultaneously do not have a significant effect on Return On Assets.

726 | Jurnal Administrare: Jurnal Pemikiran Ilmiah dan Pendidikan Administrasi Perkantoran Volume 9 , Issue 2 , July-December 2022 . Pages 721-728

Correlation Coefficient Test

This analysis is used to determine the relationship between two or more independent variables (X1, X2, X3) to the dependent variable (Y) together. This coefficient shows how big the relationship is between the independent variables (X1, X2, X3) together with the dependent variable (Y), the value of R ranges from 0 to 1, the closer the value is to 1, the stronger the relationship is, conversely the closer the value is to 0, the weaker the relationship.

Determination Coefficient Test

The coefficient of determination is used to determine the strength of the independent variable's influence on the dependent variable. It can be seen from the magnitude of the coefficient of determination (R2), which varies between zero and 1. A value close to one means that almost all of the information needed to predict the variant of the dependent variable. Adjusted R Square is R2 adjusted so that the picture is closer to the quality of the assessment model, from the calculation of the Adjusted R Square value of 0.398, while the R Square number is 0.532, which is the result of the square of the correlation (0.729 x 0.729 = 0.532). This indicates that the variable contribution of Debt to Assets Ratio and Debt to Equity Ratio to Return on Assets is 53.2%, while 46.8% is influenced by other variables not examined in this study.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on data analysis regarding the effect of Debt to Assets Ratio and Debt to Equity Ratio on Return On Assets at PT. Phapros Tbk for the 2010-2019 period, the authors conclude that: (a) The results show that the Debt to Assets Ratio (DAR) partially (T Test) has no effect on Return On Assets (ROA) at PT Phapros Tbk for the 2010-2019 period evidenced by the results of tcount smaller than ttable (0.193 <2.306) a significance of 0.853 > 0.05. (b) The results show that the Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) partially (T test) has no effect on Return On Assets (ROA) at PT Phapros Tbk for the period 2010-2019 as evidenced by the results to count is smaller than ttable (0, -1858<2.306) a significance of 0.105 > 0.05. (c) The results of the study show that the Debt to Assets Ratio (DAR) and Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) variables simultaneously (Test F) together have no effect on Return On Assets (ROA) at PT Phapros Tbk in the 2010 period -2019. Based on the determination test, it can be concluded that the Debt To Asset Ratio (DAR) (X1) and Debt To Equity (DER) (X2) have an effect of 53.2 % on Return On Assets (ROA) (Y). While the remaining 48.8 % is influenced by other variables not examined in this study.

REFERENCES

- Argadinata, H., & Gunawan, I. (2019). The Leadership of Pancasila in Education: Foundation for Strengthening Student Characters in the Industrial Revolution Era 4.0. The 4th International Conference on Education and Management (COEMA 2019), 5–7.
- Bennett, W. L. (2012). The personalization of politics: Political identity, social media, and changing patterns of participation. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 644(1), 20–39.
- Caliskan, A., Özen, Y. D. Ö., & Ozturkoglu, Y. (2020). Digital transformation of traditional marketing business model in new industry era. *Journal of Enterprise Information*

Intan Sri Budhiarjo, et al ; The Effect of Debt To Assets Ratio (DAR) and Debt To Equity Ratio ... / 727

Management.

- Carroll, A. B., & Shabana, K. M. (2010). The business case for corporate social responsibility: A review of concepts, research and practice. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 12(1), 85–105.
- Chang, C.-L., McAleer, M., & Wong, W.-K. (2020). Risk and financial management of COVID-19 in business, economics and finance. In *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* (Vol. 13, Issue 5, p. 102). MDPI.
- Coccoli, M., Guercio, A., Maresca, P., & Stanganelli, L. (2014). Smarter universities: A vision for the fast changing digital era. *Journal of Visual Languages & Computing*, 25(6), 1003– 1011.
- Efendi, A. F. W., & Wibowo, S. S. A. (2017). Pengaruh debt to equity ratio (DER) dan debt to asset ratio (DAR) terhadap kinerja perusahaan di sektor keuangan yang terdaftar di bursa efek indonesia. *Journal of Applied Managerial Accounting*, *1*(2), 157–163.
- Franzen, G., & Moriarty, S. E. (2015). The science and art of branding. Routledge.
- Hozdić, E. (2015). Smart factory for industry 4.0: A review. International Journal of Modern Manufacturing Technologies, 7(1), 28–35.
- Hulshof, P. J. H., Kortbeek, N., Boucherie, R. J., Hans, E. W., & Bakker, P. J. M. (2012). Taxonomic classification of planning decisions in health care: a structured review of the state of the art in OR/MS. *Health Systems*, 1(2), 129–175.
- Machfiroh, R., Sapriya, S., & Komalasari, K. (2018). Characteristics of young Indonesian citizenship in the digital era. *Annual Civic Education Conference (ACEC 2018)*, 5–7.
- Margetts, H., & Dunleavy, P. (2013). The second wave of digital-era governance: a quasiparadigm for government on the Web. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 371(1987), 20120382.
- Maulita, D., & Tania, I. (2018). Pengaruh Debt to equity ratio (DER), debt to asset ratio (DAR), dan long term debt to equity ratio (LDER) terhadap profitabilitas. JAK (Jurnal Akuntansi) Kajian Ilmiah Akuntansi, 5(2), 132–137.
- Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2019). Creating shared value. In *Managing sustainable business* (pp. 323–346). Springer.
- Rahardja, U., Lutfiani, N., & Amelia, S. (2019). Creative Content Marketing In Scientific Publication Management In Industrial Era 4.0. *Aptisi Transactions on Management (ATM)*, 3(2), 168–177.
- Sari, D. P., Suryani, W., & Sabrina, H. (2021). Pengaruh Debt To Asset Ratio Dan Debt To Equity Ratio Terhadap Kinerja Keuangan Pada Perusahaan Otomotif Yang Terdaftar Di Bei Periode 2015-2018. Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen Dan Bisnis (Jimbi), 2(1), 72–80.
- Siekelova, A., Kovacova, M., Adamko, P., & Stehel, V. (2019). Profit management as an instrument for SMEs developing: The case for Slovakia.
- Tarigan, A. K. M., Samsura, D. A. A., Sagala, S., & Wimbardana, R. (2017). Balikpapan: Urban planning and development in anticipation of the post-oil industry era. *Cities*, 60, 246–259.

- 728 | Jurnal Administrare: Jurnal Pemikiran Ilmiah dan Pendidikan Administrasi Perkantoran Volume 9 , Issue 2 , July-December 2022 . Pages 721-728
- Uricchio, W. (2011). The algorithmic turn: Photosynth, augmented reality and the changing implications of the image. *Visual Studies*, 26(1), 25–35.
- Yunus, M., Moingeon, B., & Lehmann-Ortega, L. (2010). Building social business models: Lessons from the Grameen experience. *Long Range Planning*, 43(2–3), 308–325.