

# Daya Matematis: Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan Matematika

Volume 10 Nomor 3 December 2022 Hal. 254-258 p-ISSN: 2541-4232 dan e-ISSN: 2354-7146

# SELF-EFFICACY ANALYSIS OF STUDENTS' MATHEMATICAL PROBLEM-SOLVING ABILITY IN ABSOLUTE VALUE EQUATIONS MATERIALS

# Fitria Handayani<sup>1</sup>, Yulyanti Harisman<sup>2</sup>, Armiati<sup>3</sup>

1,2,3 Universitas Negeri Padang

Email: phandayanializar@gmail.com yulyanti h@fmipa.unp.ac.id armiati@fmipa.unp.ac.id

(Received: 17-5-2022; Reviewed: 10-6-2022; Revised: 22-08-2022; Accepted: 27-09-2022; Published: 29-12-2022)



© 2022 –Daya matematis: Jurnal inovasi pendidikan matematika. This article open acces licenci by CC BY-NC-4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

### Abstract

Participants can profit from the ability to solve issues by first understanding them, then choosing the best technique, and then applying it to problems in both mathematical and non-mathematical situations. Many variables contribute to students' inability to answer mathematical questions, one of which is a lack of confidence in their abilities. The purpose of this study is to describe how well-equipped pupils are to deal with arithmetic challenges associated with absolute value equations. Study participants were selected from each level after each level's self-efficacy was assessed using questionnaires. The tools used in data collection procedures, which are training tactics, include short interviews, self-efficacy questionnaires, and assessments of one's ability for solving mathematical problems. The data processing and analysis process have three stages: data reduction, data presentation, and conclusion-making. The research subjects were three students from each of the three selfefficacy levels—very high, high, and medium—and their propensities for resolving mathematical puzzles were then evaluated. Students with a very high level of self-efficacy perform mathematical problem-solving tasks more effectively than students with high and medium levels of self-efficacy.

**Keywords**: Mathematical; Problems by understanding the issue; Self Efficacy

### INTRODUCTION

Every level of school requires students to take mathematics, demonstrating the subject's significance to education (Melinda & Surya, 2017; Muhammad et al., 2009; Siagian, 2016) In addition to developing logical thinking skills, mathematics also develops analytical, and critical thinking, and creative thinking abilities. It is intended that through learning mathematics, those who study it would be able to work effectively to solve the difficulties of modern life (Sulistiani, 2016)

However, mathematics is a subject that is regarded as complex and difficult to understand. According to the findings of the 2018 Program for International Student Assessment (PISA) study survey, which was issued by the Ministry of Education and Culture Website (Pengelola Web Kemendikbud, 2019; Tohir, 2019), Indonesia is rated 73rd or 7th. from the bottom, of all the countries that took the exam, with an average score of 379. This number is quite far behind compared to China's average score of 591, which continues to hold the top spot. One of the causes contributing to Indonesian students' low PISA scores is their lack of mathematical problem-solving abilities when answering non-routine or high-level problems.

According to Zulfitri (Syaras Mita et al., 2019), students need to possess problem-solving skills to be trained in handling issues in both the mathematics classroom and other areas of daily life. This training includes the ability to comprehend problems, select the best approach, and then be able to use it to solve them. According to Cohen (Harisman & Khairani, 2021), problem-solving is described as a type

## Dava Matematis: Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan Matematika, Vol. 10 No. 3 December 2022

of mental activity aimed at reaching objectives, getting over hurdles, or coming up with fresh approaches to issues that have already been resolved uniquely.

According to NCTM (Harahap & Surya, 2017), issue-solving is the practice of applying previously learned information to new and distinct contexts. According to Polya, four steps are used as the foundation for solving a problem (Amalia & Napitupulu, 2018; Simatupang & Napitupulu, 2020; Yuwono et al., 2018). The first step is understanding the problem; at this stage, students must identify what is known, what exists, the amount, relationships, associated values, and what they are looking for. (2) Making a Plan: Students are required to list the steps necessary to address a particular problem. (3) Executing the Plan. In this regard, what is carried out depends on what has been planned, translated into mathematical form, and carried out.

However, pupils' poor capacity to answer mathematical problems (Aida et al., 2017; Christina, 2021; Rambe & Afri, 2020) remains a significant "homework" for Indonesian education. One of the reasons for students' poor mathematical aptitude, according to Siswono (Septhiani, 2022), is that they lack self-confidence (Self Efficacy) when it comes to solving arithmetic issues. According to Wicaksono and Saufi (Disai et al., 2017), high school students experience anxiety when learning mathematics and worry about their readiness for math tests. One of the reasons for this anxiety is that the students believe they are incapable of handling questions. Due to their inexperience with mathematics and lack of preparation, pupils feel unsure of receiving adequate results.

Self-efficacy, according to Woolfolk (Disai et al., 2017), is a person's opinion of himself or his level of confidence in his ability to carry out a task and produce a specific outcome. According to Bandura (Disai et al., 2017; Sari et al., 2021; Septhiani, 2022), self-efficacy is the conviction that one can exert control over the circumstances that are confronting them. Where Bandura claims that each person's self-efficacy has three key dimensions, namely: A dimension related to task difficulty is called level (a). When given the choice between tough and easy jobs, people with high self-efficacy are more likely to pick the latter. (b) Generality, or the conviction that specific jobs should be carried out completely and skillfully. This connects to personal success domains including time management, material mastery, and task mastery. (c) Strength is a factor that is closely related to how strongly people hold their opinions. This entails being persistent in your learning, persistent in finishing your work, and consistent in your goal-achieving.

Solving problems involving the absolute value equation of the linear form of one variable is one of the new topics covered in Ministerial Regulation (Permendikbud, 2018) Number 37 of 2018, which addresses Basic Competence in Mathematics for Class X. This material has not yet been introduced at the junior high school (SMP) level. An implicit idea, the absolute value equation of the linear form of one variable is stated as a piecewise function, namely  $|x| = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \ge 0 \\ -x, & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$ , Because it combines multiple formulas in a function description, this concept can be challenging for students to understand and apply. Brumfiel in (Widyaningsih, 2019). Learning information that calls for absolute value as a requirement, such as absolute value equality and inequality, may be hampered by the concept of absolute value (Widyaningsih, 2019).

The researcher is interested in performing a study titled "Self-Efficacy Analysis of Students' Mathematical Problem Solving Ability in Material Absolute Value Equations" based on the background information provided above.

### **METHOD**

The research method employed is descriptive research, which is also known as a research method that explains or describes a symptom, event, or scenario that is researched in depth and is related to data gathering to address concerns regarding the issue under study. in the form of word descriptions (Lufri, 2005). Three students from class X MA were chosen as subjects for this study based on their self-efficacy test scores from when questionnaires were given out. Purposive sampling was employed for the sampling process (Lufri, 2005), and questionnaire results were used to identify representatives of each degree of self-efficacy. Indicators for this study's initial data collection on student self-efficacy were created based on questionnaire data.

Tabel 1. Student Self Efficacy Indicator

Dimensi	Deskripsi		Indikator		
	Associated with how individual beliefs depend on how challenging a task is		Students are seeking solutions to challenging assignments		
Level			Students don't want to avoid		
			assignments that they think are too difficult.		
	Considering his level of personal commitment to completing the mission		Students persist in their efforts despit		
			challenges and setbacks		
Strength			Students don't lose confidence after		
			encounters that don't live up to		
			expectations		
	Having to do with the person's capacity to apply knowledge from	1)	Students believe they can execute tasks		
Generality			in a variety of activities.		
		2)	Students have faith in their capacity to		
	one task to many different others.		finish tasks in a range of circumstances.		

Source: (Imaroh et al., 2021)

Table 1. There are six markers of self-efficacy, however, the researcher's self-efficacy questionnaire was developed into ten questions with four scale categories: scale 4 extremely high, scale 3 high, scale 2 medium, and scale 1 low. The respondent can receive a maximum of 40 points and a minimum of 10. The questionnaire data is then examined to determine the student category based on their level of self-efficacy. Based on the range of scores, Table 2 displays the level of self-efficacy.

Table 2. Category of Self-Efficiency Level

No	Score Range	Level	
1	$34 \le x \le 40$	Very high	
2	$26 \le x \le 34$	High	
3	$18 \le x \le 26$	Medium	
4	$10 \le x \le 18$	Low	

Source: (Imaroh et al., 2021) after modifying the scale that the researcher utilized

A test of mathematical problem-solving skills using the subject matter of one variable linear absolute value equations will be the next data collection. The following is a list of test questions for problem-solving:

- 1. Determine the solution to the absolute value equation |x-7|=3
- 2. Determine the solution to the absolute value equation |2x 3| 1 = 0

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### Result

The levels of self-efficacy are determined based on the analysis of the responses to the student self-efficacy questionnaire, and they are shown in Table 3.

Tuble of Student Self Elifedey Levels						
	Self Efficacy Levels				cumulative	
Type	Verry High	High	Medium	low		
Subjects	4	11	4	0	19	
Percentage (%)	21.05	57.89	21.05	0	100,00	

Table 3. Student Self-Efficacy Levels

Table 3 students responded to the self-efficacy survey, as can be shown. There are 4 students in the very high-level group, with a self-efficacy level of 21.05%, 3 students in the high-level category, with a self-efficacy level of 57.89%, 4 students in the moderate-level category, with a self-efficacy level of 21.05%, and no students in the low-level category.

Table 4 below provides a list of research subjects as well as the categories of self-efficacy levels and results of mathematical problem-solving tests.

	Table 4. List of research subjects hames and codes						
No	Subject initials	Self-Efficacy Level	Subject Code	Score			
1	AK	Very high	ST	91			
2	ZN	High	T	76.5			
3	RM	Medium	S	15			
4	-	Low	-	-			

**Table 4.** List of research subjects' names and codes

Table 4 lists the subjects' starting names and topic codes according to the student's level of self-efficacy and their success in solving mathematical problems. Specifically, a score of 91 indicates a very high level of self-efficacy for students with the subject code ST, a score of 76.5 indicates a high level of self-efficacy for students with the subject code T, and a score of 15 indicates a moderate level of self-efficacy for students with the subject code S.Following that, Figure 1 will provide the answer sheet for the math problem-solving test for each subject with a very high level of self-efficacy, i.e., subjects with the ST code.

Jawab:

1. 
$$|u-7| = 3$$
 $a \cdot u - 7 = 3$ 
 $u = 10$ 
 $u = 3 - 7$ 
 $u = 3 - 7$ 
 $u = 4$ 

2.  $|2u-3|-1 = 0$ 
 $|u-3|-1| = 0$ 
 $|u-2u-3| = 1$ 
 $|u-$ 

Figure 1. Shows the ST topic problem-solving test's answer sheet

Figure 1 shows the answer sheet for the ST code exam of pupils' ability to solve mathematical problems. ST has not been able to respond to inquiries using Polya's procedures for solving mathematical problems for either number 1 or number 2. ST appears to have skipped the step of comprehending the issue and going over it once more. As can be seen in replies 1 and 2, ST has been able to apply it to problem-solving. ST plans the solution to the absolute value equation by the definition of the equation. ST was successful in carrying out the settlement plan at that point, so ST had an answer for both questions 1 and 2.



Figure 2. Answer sheet for the subject T problem-solving test

CS CamScanner 422-31=0+1 129 - 31 = 1  $\frac{120 - 31 - 1}{200 - 31}$ 

Figure 2 shows the answer sheet for the mathematical problem-solving assessment of the pupils. It is evident from the responses to questions 1 and 2 that student T was unable to use the Polya stage for mathematical problem solving, namely in the understanding and reviewing stages. In response to question No. 2, it can be observed that Student T does not plan as well as the answer to question No. 1, which is a problem-solving strategy utilizing the absolute value concept, such that at the implementation stage, the plan for completing answer No.

Figure 3. Answer sheet for the subject S problem-solving test

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc}
\hline
 & |x-7| = 3 \\
 & \times \pm 7 = 3 \\
 & \times = 7 - 3 \\
 & = 10
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc}
 & |2x-3|-1=0 \\
 & |2x-3|=-1+0 \\
 & |2x-3|=5
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc}
 & 2x-3=5 \\
 & 2x=6 \\
 & x=9
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|cccccc}
 & x=6 \\
 & x=4 \\
 & x=4
\end{array}$$

Figure 3 shows the answer sheet for the mathematical problem-solving assessment of the pupils. S pupils have also had trouble using the Polya stages to solve mathematical problems, just like ST and T

students have. Student S neglected the phases of grasping the topic and reviewing it. Student S was unable to complete the problem-solving phase of the process adequately, both for responses to questions 1 and 2, which prevented the implementation of the settlement plan from yielding the best possible results. Student S did not correctly answer the question, in the conclusion.

According to the findings of the research mentioned above, pupils with extremely high self-efficacy are better able to answer mathematical problems than those with high and moderate self-efficacy. Similarly to this, students with high self-efficacy are better able to solve mathematical problems than those with moderate self-efficacy. This is consistent with studies by (Gilar Jatisunda, 2017; Imaroh et al., 2021; Septhiani, 2022; Somawati, 2018; Yuliyanti, 2017), which found a link between students' mathematical problem-solving skills and self-efficacy. Higher self-efficacy students typically have more aptitude for solving mathematics problems than students with lower levels of self-efficacy.

Similarly, studies by (Disai et al., 2017; Fitriani et al., 2021; Sari et al., 2021; Yunianti et al., 2016) found that students' learning outcomes in mathematics were positively correlated with their self-efficacy in completing related tasks. Conversely, students' learning outcomes in mathematics were negatively correlated with their self-efficacy in completing related tasks.

It can be inferred from some of the research findings above that self-efficacy is one of the aspects supporting one's capacity to solve mathematical puzzles to improve learning outcomes. While students with low self-efficacy will be pessimistic when faced with hurdles, students with high self-efficacy think that obstacles may be solved by being hopeful and by developing their skills. Nelson (Imaroh et al., 2021)

# CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

Based on data analysis of class X students at one of the Madrasah Aliah Schools in Padang Panjang City, it can be seen that kids with extremely high self-efficacy are superior to students with lower levels of self-efficacy at solving mathematical problems (high and medium). Not all students are capable of solving mathematics problems involving the Polya stages. Data analysis shows that all students cannot also repeat or re-examine the results of the solutions gained in solving mathematical issues, which will affect problem planning and the implementation of problem-solving plans. As a result, the level of students' caution will be affected.

## **REFERENCES**

- Aida, N., Kusaeri, K., & Hamdani, S. (2017). Karakteristik Instrumen Penilaian Hasil Belajar Matematika Ranah Kognitif yang Dikembangkan Mengacu pada Model PISA. *Suska Journal of Mathematics Education*, 3(2), 130. https://doi.org/10.24014/sjme.v3i2.3897
- Amalia, W., & Napitupulu, E. (2018). Pengembangan Soal Matematika PISA-LIKE Pada Konten Change and Relationship Untuk Mengukur Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematis Siswa SMP PENGEMBANGAN SOAL MATEMATIKA PISA-LIKE PADA KONTEN CHANGE AND RELATIONSHIP UNTUK MENGUKUR KEMAMPUAN PEMECAHAN MASALAH.
- Christina, ellycia. (2021). Analisis Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Tahapan Polya Dalam Menyelesaikan Persamaan dan Pertidaksamaan Linear Satu Variabel. *Jpmi*, 4, 405–424.
- Disai, W. I., Dariyo, A., & Basaria, D. (2017). GAMBARAN ANTARA KECEMASAN MATEMATIKA HUBUNGAN ANTARA KECEMASAN MATEMATIKA DAN SELF-EFFICACY DENGAN HASIL BELAJAR MATEMATIKA SISWA SMA X KOTA PALANGKA RAYA.

- Fitriani, R. N., Pujiastuti, H., Studi, P., Matematika, P., Keguruan, F., Pendidikan, I., Sultan, U., Tirtayasa, A., Raya, J., Km, J., Pakupatan, J., Cipocok Jaya, K., & Serang, K. (2021). *Pengaruh Self-Efficacy Terhadap Hasil Belajar Matematika*. 05(03), 2793–2801.
- Gilar Jatisunda, M. (2017). Hubungan Self-Efficacy Siswa SMP dengan Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematis. 1(2), 24–30.
- Harahap, E. R., & Surya, E. (2017). KEMAMPUAN PEMECAHAN MASALAH MATEMATIS SISWA KELAS VII DALAM MENYELESAIKAN PERSAMAAN LINEAR SATU VARIABEL. 07.
- Harisman, Y., & Khairani, K. (2021). Perilaku Pemecahan Masalah Mahasiswa pada Perkuliahan Kalkulus Secara Daring. *JNPM (Jurnal Nasional Pendidikan Matematika)*, 5(2), 277. https://doi.org/10.33603/jnpm.v5i2.5423
- Imaroh, A., Umah, U., Asriningsih, T. M., Pesantren, U., Darul ', T., Jombang, U., Pp, K., Ulum, D., Jombang, P., & Timur, J. (2021). ANALISIS KEMAMPUAN PEMECAHAN MASALAH MATEMATIKA DITINJAU DARI SELF-EFFICACY SISWA PADA MATERI SISTEM PERSAMAAN LINEAR TIGA VARIABEL. Jurnal Pembelajaran Matematika Inovatif, 4(4). https://doi.org/10.22460/jpmi.v4i4.843-856
- Lufri. (2005). Metodologi Penelitian . Fakultas Matematika dan IPA Universitas Negeri Padang .
- Meylinda, D., & Surya, E. (2017). PENGEMBANGAN LEMBAR KERJA SISWA BERBASIS KONTEKSTUAL PADA MATERI SEGI EMPAT UNTUK SISWA KELAS VII-4 MTs NEGERI 2 PADANGSIDIMPUAN View project EFFECTIVENESS OF THE USE OF THE GIVING QUESTION AND GETTING ANSWER MODEL (G Q G A) IN INCREASING RESULTS OF LEARNING MATHEMATICS STUDENTS IN MTs ISLAMIYAH MEDAN View project. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/321839536
- Muhammad, K., Fauzi, A., & Abstrak, ). (2009). PERANAN KEMAMPUAN METAKOGNITIF DALAM PEMECAHAN MASALAH MATEMATIKA SEKOLAH DASAR.
- Pengelola Web Kemendikbud. (2019, December 4). *Hasil PISA Indonesia 2018: Akses Makin Meluas, Saatnya Tingkatkan Kualitas*. Kemdikbud.Go.Id. https://www.kemdikbud.go.id/main/blog/2019/12/hasil-pisa-indonesia-2018-akses-makin-meluas-saatnya-tingkatkan-kualitas
- Permendikbud. (2018). *Permendikbud Nomor 37 Tahun 2018*. https://jdih.kemdikbud.go.id/sjdih/siperpu/dokumen/salinan/Permendikbud%20Nomor%2037%2 0Tahun%202018.pdf
- Rambe, A. Y. F., & Afri, L. D. (2020). ANALISIS KEMAMPUAN PEMECAHAN MASALAH MATEMATIS SISWA DALAM MENYELESAIKAN SOAL MATERI BARISAN DAN DERET. *AXIOM: Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Matematika*, *9*(2), 175. https://doi.org/10.30821/axiom.v9i2.8069
- Sari, D. P., Yana, Y., & Wulandari, A. (2021). Pengaruh Self Efficacy dan Motivasi Belajar terhadap Hasil Belajar Matematika Siswa MTs Al-Khairiyah Mampang Prapatan di Masa Pandemi COVID-19. *Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan (JIP) STKIP Kusuma Negara*, *13*(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.37640/jip.v13i1.872

- Dava Matematis: Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan Matematika, Vol. 10 No. 3 December 2022
- Septhiani, S. (2022). Analisis Hubungan Self-Efficacy Terhadap Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematika. *Jurnal Cendekia : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, *6*(3), 3078–3086. https://doi.org/10.31004/cendekia.v6i3.1423
- Siagian, M. D. (2016). koneksi matematika. MES (Journal of Mathematics Education and Science), 2.
- Simatupang, R., & Napitupulu, E. (2020). Analisis Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematis Dan Sel-Efficacy Siswa Pada Pembelajaran Problem-Based Learning ANALISIS KEMAMPUAN PEMECAHAN MASALAH MATEMATIS DAN SELF-EFFICACY SISWA PADA PEMBELAJARAN PROBLEM BASED LEARNING.
- Somawati, S. (2018). Peran Efikasi Diri (Self Efficacy) terhadap Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematika. *Jurnal Konseling Dan Pendidikan*, 6(1), 39. https://doi.org/10.29210/118800
- Sulistiani, E. (2016). Pentingnya Berpikir Kritis dalam Pembelajaran Matematika untuk Menghadapi Tantangan MEA.
- Syaras Mita, D., Rosmery Tambunan, L., & Izzati, N. (2019). ANALISIS KEMAMPUAN PEMECAHAN MASALAH PESERTA DIDIK DALAM MENYELESAIKAN SOAL PISA. *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Matematika*, 1(2), 25–33.
- Tohir, M. (2019). *Hasil PISA Indonesia Tahun 2018 Turun Dibanding Tahun 2015*. https://matematohir.wordpress.com/
- Widyaningsih, R. (2019). DESAIN DIDAKTIS HIPOTETIK DEFINISI NILAI MUTLAK DENGAN PENDEKATAN MULTIREPRESENTASI (Issue 4).
- Yuliyanti, R. (2017). PERAN EFIKASI DIRI (SELF EFFICACY) DAN KEMAMPUAN BERPIKIR POSITIF TERHADAP KEMAMPUAN PEMECAHAN MASALAH MATEMATIKA. 130–143.
- Yunianti, E., Jaeng, M., & Mustamin, D. (2016). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Dan Self-Efficacy Terhadap Hasil Belajar Matematika Siswa SMA Negeri 1 Parigi.
- Yuwono, T., Supanggih, M., & Ferdiani, R. D. (2018). Analisis Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematika dalam Menyelesaikan Soal Cerita Berdasarkan Prosedur Polya. *Jurnal Tadris Matematika*, 1(2). https://doi.org/10.21274/jtm.2018.1.2.137-144