Intensity of Senior High School Students using Local Language in daily life

Yusri¹, Mantasiah R. ,² Hasmawati³, Laelah Azizah⁴ English Literature, Universitas Fajar¹ Foreign Language Education, Universitas Negeri Makassar^{2,3}

Email: mantasiah@unm.ac.id²

Abstract. This study used a survey approach which aims to examine how the intensity of the use of local language in high school students. Participants were high school students who were selected purposively by considering gender (50% boys and 50% girls) and the area of residence (50% suburban area and 50% rural area). The research instrument used was in the form of 3 survey questions consisting of 1) how often to use local languages in schools, 2) how often to use local languages in the community, and 3) how often to use local languages in the family. The data collection process is carried out online using the google form platform. The verified data were analyzed using descriptive statistical methods and inferential statistics using the SPSS 26 application. Finding show that 1) students in urban areas use local languages less than students in rural area, 2) students use more local languages in the home environment than in the school environment and social environment, 3) there is no significant difference in the use of local languages between male students and female students. It can be concluded that gender is not a factor affecting language attitudes for the context of using local languages.

Keywords: Local Language, Language Shift, Language Atittude, Language maintenance

INDONESIAN JOURNAL OF EDUCATIONAL STUDIES (IJES)

E-ISSN: 2621-6744 P-ISSN: 2621-6736

Submitted Revised Accepted : 7th August 2020 : 22nd October 2020 : 21st November 2020



This work is licensed under a <u>Creative</u> <u>Commons</u> <u>Attribution-NonCommercial</u> <u>4.0 International License</u>

INTRODUCTION

The research topic related to local language maintenance called mother tounge is one of the important issues that has been widely studied by previous researchers. Several studies focus on examining how the portrait of local language maintenance carried out by the community or government (Roche & Tsomu, 2018; Kasstan, 2019; Hammine, 2020) and several other studies also focus on examining how local language shifts can occur (Kandler & Unger, 2018) ; Sagimin, 2020; Veettil et al., 2020). The importance of local language is indicated by the number of studies that focus on developing methods or strategies in regional language defense (Rizqi et al., 2020; Amery, 2019).

Language shift and maintenance is a language change process that occurs in society which of course requires a long period of time. In general, language shift indicates the existence of a language abandoned by the community of speakers. This means that when a language shift occurs, members of a language community prefer to use another language than the language previously used for several reasons (Veettil et al., 2020: Dreisbach & Demeterio, 2020). Language shift is very serious in relation to bilingualism, because language shift tends not to occur if people only master one language. However, bilingualism is certainly not the single factor that causes language shift. Bilingualism does not automatically cause language shift, even though this is one of the conditions for language shift. Language shift tends to occur through generation (intergeneration), therefore language shift takes more than one generation (Emerine Hicks, 2017; Prochazka & Vogl, 2017).

Another factor that causes language shift is the language attitude possessed by the speech community. The attitude of language can be interpreted as how the community views the local language, when the community considers that maintaining local language is important, it can be concluded that the attitude of the community's language towards their local language is classified as positive, but on the contrary when the community considers it not important, then the language attitude of the community is classified as negative language attitude. This study aims to assess the intensity of the community in using their local language. Through the findings of this study, it can be concluded how the attitudes of the community towards their regional languages through the intensity of their use of local languages. Most of the previous studies that examined language shift were carried out in society in general, this study focused on studying high school students. The findings of this study will describe a portrait of language attitudes in adolescents

RESEARCH METHOD

Research Approach

This study uses a survey approach which aims to determine how the intensity of the use of local language in high school students. No form of intervention was given to participants in this study.

Participants

Participants in this study were high school students who were selected purposively by considering gender (50% boys and 50% girls) and the area of residence (50% suburban area and 50% rural area). The average age of the participants was 11.42.

Research Instrument

The research instrument used was in the form of 3 survey questions consisting of 1) how often to use local languages in schools, 2) how often to use local languages in the community, and 3) how often to use local languages in the family. There are 6 types of response alternatives that can be given ranging from the lowest score (1: never) to the highest score (6: very often).

Data Collection Procedure

The data collection process is carried out online using the google form platform. There is an agreement sheet attached to the google form before participants fill out the instrument provided, and all participants who have been involved in this research have expressed their willingness to be involved in this study as research participants. The data collection process was carried out for 2 weeks.

Data Analysis

The verified data were analyzed using descriptive statistical methods and inferential statistics using the SPSS 26 application. Descriptive statistics were used to determine the average use of local languages in students in terms of gender differences, school location / residence, and the realm of local language use. Inferential statistics are used to determine whether there are significant differences in the intensity of local language use between students who study and live in rural and urban areas and between male and female students.

FINDING AND DICUSSION

Differences in the Intensity of Using Local Languages by Region

In general, it can be concluded that there is the difference in the intensity of regional language use between urban and rural students. It can be seen in table 1:

School Region	Mean	Deviatioan Standard		
Uburban Area	2.06	0.56		
Rural Area	3.73	0.67		

Table 1. The Intensity of using Local Language by Region

Students in urban areas use local languages less (M: 2.06) than students in rural areas (M: 3.73). To find out whether there are significant differences in the use of regional languages between rural and urban students, it can be seen in the following table:

	for Ec	e's Test quality iances	ality			t-test for Equality of Means			
	F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-	Mean Differenc	Std. Erro		nfidence Il of the
					taile	e	r	Difference	
					d)		Diff eren	Lower	Uppe
							ce		
Equal variances assumed	1,83	,181	-25,44	58	,000	-5,000	,197	-5,393	-4,60
Equal variances not assumed			-25,44	57,189	,000	-5,000	,197	-5,393	-4,60

Table 2. The Result of Independent Sample T-Test

Significance data in table 2 shows the Sig value. (2-tailed) of 0.00. This indicates that there are significant differences in the use of regional languages between students in urban and rural areas. This indicates that students in urban areas use Indonesian more intensely than their local languages. It is different with students in rural areas who tend to use local languages more intensely and tend to be able to balance the use of local languages and Indonesian in their respective domains.

Differences in the intensity of the use of local languages based on the domain of use

The use of language is closely related to the realm of its use in the sense that the language is used both in the school environment, the community environment (social environment), and the family environment. Tables 3 and 4 explain the differences in the use of local languages in 3 different domains, both in urban and rural schools.

Locations	Mean	Deviation Standard		
School	1.63	0.49		
Community (Social	1.86	0.34		
Environment)				
Home	2.7	0.46		

Table 3. the intensity of the use of local languages based on the domain of use in the Uburban Area

Table 3 shows that students in urban schools use more local languages in the home environment than in the school environment and social environment. However, it can be seen that the mean of the use of local languages in the home environment is 2.7. This is of course low, because the maximum score in the questionnaire used is 6. This shows that as well as in the home environment, students in urban areas are still more intent on using Indonesian than regional languages.

Locations	Mean	Deviation Standard		
School	3.23	0.43		
Community (Social	3.46	0.50		
Environment)				
Home	4.5	0.50		

Table 4. the intensity of the use of local languages based on the domain of use in the Rural Area

The same findings are also shown in table 4 which explains that students in rural schools use more local languages in the home environment than in the school environment and social environment. The difference is that the mean of the use of local languages in the home environment in rural areas is much higher than in urban areas. This shows that students in rural areas use local languages more intensely than Indonesian at home.

The Differences in the Intensity of the Use of Local Languages Based on Gender

Several previous studies have shown that gender is one of the factors that can influence a person's language attitude. This study compares the language attitudes of male and female students, especially in terms of the intensity of using regional languages. When the intensity of the use of regional languages is high, of course, it will have a positive correlation with the attitude of the language towards the regional language, and vice versa. For more details, see tables 5 and 6.

	Grou	Grou N		Std.	Std. Error		
	р			Deviation	Mean		
Intensity	Boys	30	8,50	2,529	,462		
	Girls	30	8,90	2,759	,504		

Table 5. the Intensity of the Use of Local Languages Based on Gender

Table 5 shows that the mean intensity of male students' use of local language (8.50) is lower than that of female students (8.90). To find out whether there are significant differences between the two groups, it can be seen in table 6.

	for Ec	e's Test quality iances			t-test	for Equality of	Means	leans		
	F	F Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- taile	Mean Differenc e	Std. Erro r	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference		
					d)		Diff eren ce	Lower	Upper	
Equal variances assumed	2,05	,157	,585	58	,561	-,400	,683	-1,76	,968	
Equal variances not assumed			-,585	57,56	,561	-,400	,683	-1,76	,968	

The data of significance in table 6 shows the Sig value. (2-tailed) of 0.561. The significance value is greater than the standard significance value used (0.05). This indicates that there is no significant difference in the use of local languages between male students and female students. Based on these data, it can also be concluded that gender is not a factor affecting language attitudes for the context of using local languages.

CONCLUSION

There are some points which can be concluded that there are significant differences in the use of regional languages between students in urban and rural areas. This indicates that students in urban areas use Indonesian more intensely than their local languages. It is different with students in rural areas who tend to use local languages more intensely and tend to be able to balance the use of local languages and Indonesian in their respective domains. Students tend to use more local languages in the home environment than in the school environment and social environment. Moreover, there is no significant difference in the use of local languages between male students and female students. Therefore, it can be concluded that gender is not a factor affecting language attitudes for the context of using local languages.

REFERENCES

- Emerine Hicks, R. (2017). From multilingualism to bilingualism: changes in language use, language value, and social mobility among Engdewu speakers in the Solomon Islands. Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development, 38(10), 857-870.
- Prochazka, K., & Vogl, G. (2017). Quantifying the driving factors for language shift in a bilingual region. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114(17), 4365-4369.
- Dreisbach, J. L., & Demeterio, F. P. A. (2020). Intergenerational language preference shift among Cebuanos on the Cebuano, Filipino, and English languages. *LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching*, 23(2), 220-240.
- Kandler, A., & Unger, R. (2018). Modeling language shift. In *Diffusive spreading in nature,* technology and society (pp. 351-373). Springer, Cham.
- Sagimin, E. M. (2020). Language Shift And Heritage Language Maintenance Among Indonesian Young Generations: A Case Study Of Pamulang University Students. *EUFONI*, 4(1).
- Veettil, R. P., Binu, P. M., & Karthikeyan, J. (2020). Language Maintenance and Language Shift among Keralites in Oman. *Arab World English Journal*, 11(4).
- Roche, G., & Tsomu, Y. (2018). Tibet's Invisible Languages and China's Language Endangerment Crisis: Lessons from the Gochang Language of Western Sichuan. *The China Quarterly*, 233, 186-210
- Kasstan, J. R. (2019). Emergent sociolinguistic variation in severe language endangerment. Language in Society, 48(5), 685-720.
- Hammine, M. (2020). Educated not to speak our language: Language attitudes and newspeakerness in the Yaeyaman language. *Journal of Language, Identity & Education*, 1-15.

- Rizqi, Z. U., Cahyaningtyas, R. W., & Yogiari, D. (2020). Javanization In Student City: Finding And Prioritizing Idea To Maintain Local Language In Indonesia. *Oisaa Journal Of Indonesia Emas*, 3(2), 66-72.
- Amery, R. (2019). Language Is More Than Communication: Why We Should Maintain The Mother Tongue And Promote Linguistic Diversity. *Proceedings of EEIC*, 2, 1-5.