Jurnal Aktor
Vol. 2, No. 1, October 2022
p-ISSN: 2808-4365, e-ISSN: 2808-5167

Financial Crisis in the Public Sector: Trends and Solutions in Indonesia

Dewi Indriani[1], Yose Andrea[2]

[1,2,3] Business Administration Program, Faculty of Social Sciences and Business, Universitas Wanita Internasional, Indonesia
e-mail: dewijusuf@iwu.ac.id[1] yoseandrea@iwu.ac.id[2]

This article examines the trends and solutions related to financial crises in the public sector in Indonesia. Financial crises can significantly impact the stability and efficiency of public sector institutions, hindering their ability to provide essential services to citizens. The study aims to analyze the causes and consequences of financial crises in the public sector, identify emerging trends in Indonesia, and propose solutions to mitigate the impact of such crises. The research adopts a mixed-method approach, combining quantitative data analysis and qualitative case studies. The findings highlight the importance of sound financial management practices, transparency, and accountability in preventing and addressing financial crises in the public sector.

Keywords: Financial crisis; public sector; financial management; accountability

INTRODUCTION

Financial crises in the public sector pose significant challenges to governments, affecting their ability to effectively deliver services and maintain stable economic conditions (Aigbedo, 2021; Amanullah et al., 2020; Diamond & Rajan, 2001; Jahmane & Gaies, 2020). These crises can arise from various factors, such as mismanagement, corruption, economic downturns, or external shocks. In Indonesia, like many other countries, the public sector has experienced its share of financial challenges (Drezgić et al., 2019; Ibn-mohammed et al., 2021; Ma et al., 2021; Prawoto et al., 2020). This article aims to explore the trends and solutions related to financial crises in the public sector in Indonesia. The study investigates the causes and consequences of these crises, identifies emerging trends, and proposes solutions to mitigate their impact. By understanding these issues, policymakers and public sector administrators can adopt appropriate measures to enhance financial stability and resilience.

Financial crises in the public sector not only disrupt the stability of governments but also have far-reaching implications for the provision of essential services and overall economic conditions (Bryden & Olonisakin, 2010; Ibn-mohammed et al., 2021; Luckham, 2015; Ma et al., 2021; Shafritz et al., 2017; Slamti, 2020; Zekos, 2003). These crises can arise from a range of factors, including mismanagement, corruption, economic downturns, or external shocks.

Similar to many other countries, Indonesia has faced its fair share of financial challenges within the public sector. Therefore, the objective of this article is to delve into the trends and solutions concerning financial crises in the Indonesian public sector. By thoroughly investigating the causes and consequences of these crises, identifying emerging trends, and proposing effective solutions, policymakers and public sector administrators can implement appropriate measures to bolster financial stability and build resilience within the sector. Such understanding and actions are crucial to ensure the uninterrupted delivery of services and sustainable economic development in Indonesia.

METHOD

This research employs a mixed-method approach to analyze the trends and solutions regarding financial crises in the public sector in Indonesia. The quantitative component involves the analysis of financial data, such as budget deficits, debt levels, and revenue collection, to assess the magnitude and patterns of financial crises over time. Additionally, qualitative case studies are conducted to gain insights into specific instances of financial crises in public sector institutions and the measures taken to address them. The case studies involve interviews with key stakeholders, document analysis, and a comprehensive review of relevant literature. The combination of quantitative and qualitative data provides a comprehensive understanding of the trends and solutions related to financial crises in the public sector in Indonesia.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of financial data reveals several trends related to financial crises in the public sector in Indonesia. One significant trend is the frequent occurrence of budget deficits, leading to increased borrowing and debt accumulation. This trend highlights the importance of fiscal discipline and effective financial management practices in public sector institutions. Furthermore, cases of corruption and mismanagement have contributed to financial crises, emphasizing the need for enhanced transparency, accountability, and anti-corruption measures (Garcia-Sanchez et al., 2013; Gascó-Hernández et al., 2018; To & Mahanty, 2019; Xie & Zhang, 2020; Zekos, 2003). The analysis of case studies provides valuable insights into the specific challenges faced by public sector institutions during financial crises and the measures taken to mitigate their impact. These measures include implementing stricter financial controls, conducting audits and investigations, and enhancing governance mechanisms (Aigbedo, 2021).

The findings also highlight the importance of preventive measures in addressing financial crises in the public sector (Bryson, 2010; Dalton & Dalton, 1988; Susanti et al., 2019; Torugsa & Arundel, 2016; Wart & Dicke, 2008). Proactive fiscal planning, sound budgetary processes, and effective risk management systems are crucial to prevent crises from occurring or to mitigate their impact when they do arise. Additionally, transparency and accountability mechanisms play a pivotal role in identifying and addressing potential financial risks. Strengthening financial oversight and regulatory frameworks can enhance the resilience of public sector institutions and promote responsible financial management.

Financial crises in the public sector can severely impact the stability and functioning of government institutions. The analysis of trends and solutions related to financial crises in the public sector in Indonesia highlights the importance of sound financial management practices, transparency, and accountability. Preventive measures, such as proactive fiscal planning and effective risk management, are crucial in minimizing the occurrence and impact of financial crises. Additionally, enhancing transparency and accountability mechanisms, combating corruption, and strengthening financial oversight can contribute to the resilience and stability of public sector institutions. By adopting these measures, Indonesia can mitigate the risks associated with financial crises in the public sector and ensure the efficient delivery of essential services to its citizens. It is imperative for policymakers and public sector administrators to prioritize the implementation of these solutions to promote financial stability and strengthen the resilience of public sector institutions.

CONCLUSION

Efficient public administration is crucial for delivering effective and responsive services to citizens. Bureaucracy reform plays a vital role in achieving administrative efficiency by addressing the challenges faced by traditional bureaucratic systems. This article discussed the importance of structural changes, process streamlining, and capacity building in fostering an efficient public administration system. The findings highlight the need for comprehensive reforms, guided by the principles of transparency, accountability, and citizen-centricity. By implementing bureaucracy reform initiatives, governments can enhance service delivery, promote good governance, and meet the evolving needs and expectations of their citizens.

REFERENCES

Aigbedo, H. (2021). Impact of COVID-19 on the hospitality industry: A supply chain resilience perspective. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, *98*(November 2020), 103012. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2021.103012

Amanullah, Lakhan, G. R., Channa, S. A., Magsi, H., Koondher, M. A., Wang, J., & Channa, N. A. (2020). Credit constraints and rural farmers' welfare in an agrarian economy. *Heliyon*, *6*(10), e05252. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05252

Bryden, A., & Olonisakin, F. (2010). *Security Sector Transformation in Africa*. file:///C:/Users/Ian/Downloads/Yearly Book (1).pdf

Bryson, J. M. (2010). The Future of Strategic Planning in the Public Sector: Linking

Strategic Management and Performance. *Public Administration Review*, *70*(December), 255–267.

Dalton, T. C., & Dalton, L. C. (1988). The politics of measuring public sector performance: productivity and the public organization. In *Promoting productivity in the public sector* (pp. 19–65). Springer.

Diamond, D. W., & Rajan, R. G. (2001). Banks, short-term debt and financial crises: theory, policy implications and applications. *Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy*, *54*(1), 37–71.

Drezgić, S., Žiković, S., & Tomljanović, M. (2019). *Economics of Digital Transformation* (Issue February).

Garcia-Sanchez, I. M., Cuadrado-Ballesteros, B., & Frias-Aceituno, J. (2013). Determinants of Government Effectiveness. *International Journal of Public Administration*, *36*(8), 567–577. https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2013.772630

Gascó-Hernández, M., Martin, E. G., Reggi, L., Pyo, S., & Luna-Reyes, L. F. (2018). Promoting the use of open government data: Cases of training and engagement. *Government Information Quarterly*, *35*(2), 233–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2018.01.003

Ibn-mohammed, T., Mustapha, K. B., Godsell, J., Adamu, Z., Babatunde, K. A., Akintade, D. D., Manufacturing, W., Wmg, G., Cv, C., & Kingdom, U. (2021). Resources , Conservation & Recycling A critical analysis of the impacts of COVID-19 on the global economy and ecosystems and opportunities for circular economy strategies. *Resources, Conservation & Recycling*, *164*(May 2020), 105169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105169

Jahmane, A., & Gaies, B. (2020). Corporate social responsibility, financial instability and corporate financial performance: Linear, non-linear and spillover effects – The case of the CAC 40 companies. *Finance Research Letters*, *February*, 101483. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2020.101483

Luckham, R. (2015). *Democratic and War-to-Peace Transitions and Security Sector Transformation in Africa*. *April*.

Ma, F., Khan, F., Khan, K. U., & Xiangyun, S. (2021). *Investigating the Impact of Information Technology , Absorptive Capacity , and Dynamic Capabilities on Firm Performance : An Empirical Study*. https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211061388

Prawoto, N., Purnomo, E. P., & Zahra, A. A. (2020). *The Impacts of Covid-19 Pandemic on Socio-Economic Mobility in Indonesia*. *VIII*(3), 57–71.

Shafritz, J. M., Russell, E. W., Borick, C. P., & Hyde, A. C. (2017). *Introducing public administration*. Routledge Taylor & Francis Group. https://doi.org/10.1080/00922013.1975.11000035

Slamti, F. (2020). 51 st International Scientific Conference on Economic and Social Development Development. *51st International Scientific Conference on*

Economic and Social Development, March, 286.

Susanti, G., Yani, A. A., Iva, M. I. N., & Halwatiah, S. (2019). Barriers of Public Sector Innovation: A Case Study in The Alley Garden Program in Makassar City. *CCER*, 205.

To, P. X., & Mahanty, S. (2019). Vietnam's cross-border timber crackdown and the quest for state legitimacy. *Political Geography*, *75*(November 2018), 102066. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2019.102066

Torugsa, N., & Arundel, A. (2016). Complexity of innovation in the public sector: A workgroup-level analysis of related factors and outcomes. *Public Management Review*, *18*(3), 392–416.

Wart, M. Van, & Dicke, L. A. (2008). *Administrative Leadership in the Public Sector*. ME. Sharpe Armonk.

Xie, J., & Zhang, Y. (2020). Anti-corruption, government intervention, and corporate cash holdings: Evidence from China. *Economic Systems*, *44*(1), 100745. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecosys.2020.100745

Zekos, G. I. (2003). MNEs, globalisation and digital economy: legal and economic aspects. *Managerial Law*, *45*(1/2), 1–296. https://doi.org/10.1108/03090550310770875